

THE DOUBLE COMMUTATION THEOREM FOR SELFDUAL HILBERT RIGHT W^* -MODULES

CORNELIU CONSTANTINESCU

Abstract

Let E be a W^* -algebra, H a selfdual Hilbert right E -module, and $\mathcal{F}$ an involutive unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$. We prove that the double commutant of $\mathcal{F}$ is the W^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$ generated by $\mathcal{F}$ (Theorem 4). The proofs work simultaneously for the real and for the complex case. If E is the field of real or complex numbers then H is a Hilbert space and this result becomes the well-known classical double commutation theorem.

AMS classification code: 46L08, 46L10

Keywords: selfdual Hilbert right W^* -modules, the double commutation theorem

In general we use the notation and terminology of [C]. In the sequel we give a list of some notation used in this paper.

1. $\mathbb{K}$ denotes the field of real or the field of complex numbers. The whole theory is developed in parallel for the real and complex case (but the proofs coincide).

2. For all i, j we denote by δ_{ij} the Kronecker's symbol:

$$\delta_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

3. If E, F are vector spaces in duality then E_F denotes the vector space E endowed with the locally convex topology of pointwise convergence on F , i.e. with the weak topology $\sigma(E, F)$.
4. If E is a Banach space then E' denotes its dual and $E^\#$ its unit ball :

$$E^\# := \{ x \in E \mid \|x\| \leq 1 \}.$$

5. If E, F are Banach spaces then $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ denotes the Banach space of operators from E to F .
6. If E is a C*-algebra then $Pr E$ denotes the set of orthogonal projections of E . If E is a W*-algebra then $\ddot{E}$ denotes its predual.
7. Let E be a W*-algebra. A C*-subalgebra F of E is called a W*-subalgebra of E if it is a W*-algebra and if the inclusion map $F_{\ddot{F}} \rightarrow E_{\ddot{E}}$ is continuous. We say that an involutive subalgebra F of E generates E as a W*-subalgebra if every W*-subalgebra of E containing F is equal to E ; by [C] Corollary 4.4.4.12 a), this is equivalent to the assertion that F is dense in $E_{\ddot{E}}$.
8. Let E be a C*-algebra and H, K Hilbert right E -modules. $\mathcal{L}_E(H, K)$ denotes the Banach subspace of $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ of adjointable operators, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_E(H, K)$ the Banach space of $u \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ such that

$$(\xi, x) \in H \times E \implies u(\xi x) = (u\xi)x,$$

$$\mathcal{L}_E(H) := \mathcal{L}_E(H, H), \quad \hat{H} := \hat{\mathcal{L}}_E(H, E)$$

and

$$\langle \cdot | \xi \rangle : H \longrightarrow E, \quad \eta \longmapsto \langle \eta | \xi \rangle$$

for every $\xi \in H$. If for every $u \in \hat{H}$ there is a $\xi \in H$ with

$$u := \langle \cdot | \xi \rangle$$

then H is called selfdual.

9. Let E be a W^* -algebra and H a Hilbert right E -module. We put for $a \in \ddot{E}$ and $\xi, \eta \in H$,

$$\widetilde{(a, \xi)} : H \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad \zeta \longmapsto \langle \langle \zeta | \xi \rangle, a \rangle,$$

$$\widetilde{(a, \xi, \eta)} : \mathcal{L}_E(H) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad u \longmapsto \langle \langle u\xi | \eta \rangle, a \rangle$$

and denote by $\ddot{H}$ (by $\ddot{H}$) the closed vector subspace of H' (of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)'$) generated by

$$\left\{ \widetilde{(a, \xi)} \mid (a, \xi) \in \ddot{E} \times H \right\} \left(\text{by } \left\{ \widetilde{(a, \xi, \eta)} \mid (a, \xi, \eta) \in \ddot{E} \times H \times H \right\} \right).$$

If H is selfdual then $\ddot{H}$ (resp. $\ddot{H}$) is the predual of H (resp. of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$) ([C] Proposition 5.6.3.3, [C] Theorem 5.6.3.5 a)).

10. If E is a C^* -algebra, H a Hilbert right E -module, and I a finite set then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H$ denotes the Hilbert right E -module obtained by endowing the vector space H^I with the right multiplication

$$H^I \times E \longrightarrow H, \quad (\xi, x) \longmapsto (\xi_i x)_{i \in I}$$

and with the inner product

$$H^I \times H^I \longrightarrow E, \quad (\xi, \eta) \longmapsto \sum_{i \in I} \langle \xi_i | \eta_i \rangle.$$

11. $\mathcal{F}^c$ denotes the commutant and $\mathcal{F}^{cc}$ the double commutant of $\mathcal{F}$.

PROPOSITION 1 *If E is a C^* -algebra, H a selfdual Hilbert right E -module, and K a Hilbert right E -submodule of H , then the following are equivalent:*

- a) K is selfdual.

b) *There is a $p \in \text{Pr } \mathcal{L}_E(H)$ with $K = p(H)$.*

If E is a W^* -algebra then the above assertions are equivalent to the following one:

c) *K is closed in $H_{\ddot{H}}$.*

$a \Rightarrow b$. Let u be the inclusion map $K \rightarrow H$. Then

$$u \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_E(K, H) = \mathcal{L}_E(K, H)$$

([C] Proposition 5.6.2.4). For $(\xi, \eta) \in H \times K$,

$$\langle \xi | \eta \rangle = \langle \xi | u\eta \rangle = \langle u^*\xi | \eta \rangle$$

so

$$\xi \in K \implies u^*\xi = \xi$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u^*uu^*\xi | \eta \rangle &= \langle uu^*\xi | \eta \rangle = \langle u^*\xi | \eta \rangle, \\ u^*uu^*\xi &= u^*\xi, \quad u^*uu^* = u^*, \quad uu^*uu^* = uu^*, \\ p &:= uu^* \in \text{Pr } \mathcal{L}_E(H), \quad p(H) = K. \end{aligned}$$

$b \Rightarrow a$. Let $u \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_E(K, E)$. Put $v := u \circ p \in \hat{\mathcal{L}}_E(H, E)$. Since H is selfdual there is an $\eta \in H$ with

$$v = \langle \cdot | \eta \rangle.$$

For $\xi \in K$,

$$u\xi = up^2\xi = vp\xi = \langle p\xi | \eta \rangle = \langle \xi | p\eta \rangle$$

so

$$u = \langle \cdot | p\eta \rangle$$

and K is selfdual.

$b \Rightarrow c$. By [C] Proposition 5.6.3.4 c), the map

$$p : H_{\ddot{H}} \longrightarrow H_{\ddot{H}}$$

is continuous, so

$$K = \{ \xi \in H \mid \xi = p\xi \}$$

is closed in $H_{\check{H}}$.

$c \Rightarrow a$. Since $H_{\check{H}}^{\#}$ is compact ([C] Proposition 5.6.3.3 $a \Rightarrow b$), it follows that $K_K^{\#} = K_{\check{H}}^{\#}$ is also compact, so K is selfdual ([C] Proposition 5.6.3.3 $b \Rightarrow a$). ■

PROPOSITION 2 *Let E be a W^* -algebra, H a selfdual Hilbert right E -module, $\mathcal{F}$ an involutive subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$, $\xi \in H$, and K the closure in $H_{\check{H}}$ of $\{ u\xi \mid u \in \mathcal{F} \}$. Then $w(K) \subset K$ for every $w \in \mathcal{F}^{cc}$.*

Let $\xi_0 \in K$ and $v \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $(a_i, \eta_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family in $\check{E} \times H$. There is a $u \in \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$\left| \left\langle u\xi - \xi_0, \widetilde{(a_i, v^*\eta_i)} \right\rangle \right| < 1.$$

for every $i \in I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle vu\xi - v\xi_0, \widetilde{(a_i, \eta_i)} \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle vu\xi - v\xi_0 \mid \eta_i \right\rangle, a_i \right| = \\ &= \left| \left\langle u\xi - \xi_0 \mid v^*\eta_i \right\rangle, a_i \right| = \left| \left\langle u\xi - \xi_0, \widetilde{(a_i, v^*\eta_i)} \right\rangle \right| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

for every $i \in I$, so $v\xi_0 \in K$. Thus $v(K) \subset K$. If $\eta \in K^{\perp}$ then for $\zeta \in K$,

$$\langle v\eta \mid \zeta \rangle = \langle \eta \mid v^*\zeta \rangle = 0,$$

so $v\eta \in K^{\perp}$ and $v(K^{\perp}) \subset K^{\perp}$.

By Proposition 1 $c \Rightarrow b$, there is a $p \in Pr \mathcal{L}_E(H)$ with $K = p(H)$. By the above, for $\eta \in H$,

$$pv\eta = pvp\eta + pv(\eta - p\eta) = pvp\eta = vp\eta,$$

so $pv = vp$, $p \in \mathcal{F}^c$. Thus

$$w\xi_0 = wp\xi_0 = pw\xi_0 \in K, \quad w(K) \subset K. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROPOSITION 3 *Let E be a C^* -algebra, H a Hilbert right E -module, and I a finite set. We put for every $u \in \mathcal{L}_E(H)$,*

$$\tilde{u} : \bigoplus_{i \in I} H \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} H, \quad \xi \longmapsto (u\xi_i)_{i \in I}$$

and use the matrix notation of [C] Proposition 5.6.4.16 d).

a) *For every $u \in \mathcal{L}_E(H)$ and $i, j \in I$,*

$$(\tilde{u}_{i,j}) = \delta_{i,j}u.$$

b) *The following are equivalent for all $u \in \mathcal{L}_E(H)$ and $v \in \mathcal{L}_E(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H)$:*

$$b_1) \quad \tilde{u}v = v\tilde{u}.$$

$$b_2) \quad uv_{i,j} = v_{i,j}u \text{ for all } i, j \in I.$$

a) Let $\xi \in H$ and for every $j \in I$ put $\eta_j := (\delta_{i,j}\xi)_{i \in I}$. Then for $i, j \in I$,

$$\delta_{i,j}u\xi = u(\delta_{i,j}\xi) = u((\eta_j)_i) = (\tilde{u}\eta_j)_i = \sum_{k \in I} \tilde{u}_{i,k}(\eta_j)_k = \sum_{k \in I} \tilde{u}_{i,k}\delta_{k,j}\xi = \tilde{u}_{i,j}\xi,$$

so $\tilde{u}_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}u$.

b) By a), for $i, j \in I$,

$$(\tilde{u}v)_{i,j} = \sum_{k \in I} \tilde{u}_{i,k}v_{k,j} = \sum_{k \in I} \delta_{i,k}uv_{k,j} = uv_{i,j},$$

$$(v\tilde{u})_{i,j} = \sum_{k \in I} v_{i,k}\tilde{u}_{k,j} = \sum_{k \in I} v_{i,k}\delta_{k,j}u = v_{i,j}u,$$

which proves the assertion. ■

THEOREM 4 *Let E be a W^* -algebra, H a selfdual Hilbert right E -module, and $\mathcal{F}$ an involutive unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{cc}$ is the W^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$ ([C] Theorem 5.6.3.5 b)) generated by $\mathcal{F}$.*

Let $u \in \mathcal{F}^{cc}$ and let $(a_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family in $\ddot{E} \times H \times H$. We put $K := \bigoplus_{i \in I} H$,

$$\tilde{v} : K \longrightarrow K, \quad \zeta \longmapsto (v\zeta_i)_{i \in I}$$

for every $v \in \mathcal{L}_E(H)$, and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} := \{ \tilde{v} \mid v \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

Let $w \in (\tilde{\mathcal{F}})^c$. Let $v \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i, j \in I$. By Proposition 3 $b_1 \Rightarrow b_2$, $vw_{i,j} = w_{i,j}v$, so $w_{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}^c$. It follows $uw_{i,j} = w_{i,j}u$, so by Proposition 3 $b_2 \Rightarrow b_1$, $\tilde{u}w = w\tilde{u}$. Thus $\tilde{u} \in (\tilde{\mathcal{F}})^{cc}$.

Put $\xi := (\xi_i)_{i \in I}$, $\zeta_j := (\delta_{i,j}\eta_i)_{i \in I}$ for every $j \in I$, and denote by L the closure of $\{ \tilde{v}\xi \mid v \in \mathcal{F} \}$ in $K_{\ddot{K}}$. Since $\xi \in L$ and $\tilde{u} \in (\tilde{\mathcal{F}})^{cc}$ it follows from Proposition 2, that there is a $v \in \mathcal{F}$ with

$$\left| \left\langle \tilde{u} - \tilde{v}, \overbrace{(a_i, \xi, \zeta_i)} \right\rangle \right| < 1$$

for every $i \in I$. For $j \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})\xi \mid \zeta_j \rangle &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle (u - v)\xi_i \mid (\zeta_j)_i \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} \langle (u - v)\xi_i \mid \delta_{i,j}\eta_i \rangle = \langle (u - v)\xi_j \mid \eta_j \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so for $i \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle u - v, \overbrace{(a_i, \xi_i, \eta_i)} \right\rangle \right| &= | \langle \langle (u - v)\xi_i \mid \eta_i \rangle, a_i \rangle | = \\ &= | \langle \langle (\tilde{u} - \tilde{v})\xi \mid \zeta_i \rangle, a_i \rangle | = \left| \left\langle \tilde{u} - \tilde{v}, \overbrace{(a_i, \xi, \zeta_i)} \right\rangle \right| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus u belongs to the closure $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathcal{L}_E(H)_{\ddot{H}}$, so $\mathcal{F}^{cc} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Since $\mathcal{F}^{cc}$ is obviously closed in $\mathcal{L}_E(H)_{\ddot{H}}$ and contains $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{F}^{cc} = \mathcal{G}$. By [C] Corollary 4.4.4.12 a), $\mathcal{F}^{cc}$ is the W^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_E(H)$ generated by $\mathcal{F}$. $\blacksquare$

REFERENCES

- [C] Corneliu Constantinescu, *C*-algebras*. Elsevir, 2001.

Corneliu Constantinescu
Bodenacherstr. 53
CH 8121 Benglen
e-mail: constant@math.ethz.ch